

Team Policy Debate Orientation

About Stoa

- National speech & debate league
- Homeschool students
- Ages 12 18
- 100+ tournaments each year

Volunteers make it happen!

- We can't do this without you
- You are making an investment
- You are performing a teaching role in the lives of our students
- You make it possible for young people to learn these skills

Thank You!

You are Qualified to Judge!

- You already participate in communication activities
- It is the speaker's job to communicate with you
- It is not your job to be a debate expert
- Our goal is for our students to speak to "the thinking man and woman on the street

That's You!

What to Expect

- There is one judge in preliminary rounds. That number increases in elimination rounds.
- A timekeeper gives verbal signals during prep time and hand signals during speaking times
- Debaters may also self-time
- Debaters will introduce themselves and may ask your judging philosophy

What is Debate?

Two opposing teams argue an idea: the Resolution

- Affirmative upholds the resolution
 First Affirmative
 Second Affirmative
- Negative refutes Affirmative position
 First Negative
 Second Negative

Debaters alternate sides during the tournament

2016-2017 Debate Resolution

Resolved:

The United States Federal Government should substantially reform its agriculture and/or food safety policy in the United States.

Evidence

- Team Policy makes use of a wide variety of support to defend and clarify arguments, which may include (but is not limited to) logic, definitions, facts, examples, applications, analogies, and evidence (cited materials).
- The debaters may persuade you as to which types of support are best for the issues at hand
- At the end of the round, you may request to review evidence for clarification or accuracy

Do not ask the debaters for clarification of evidence or arguments.

Make sure to return any evidence you review before leaving the room.

Round Structure

- 8 min. First Affirmative Constructive
- 3 min. Cross Examination
- 8 min. First Negative Constructive
- 3 min. Cross Examination
- 8 min. Second Affirmative Constructive
- 3 min. Cross Examination
- 8 min. Second Negative Constructive
- 3 min. Cross Examination
- 5 min. First Negative Rebuttal
- 5 min. First Affirmative Rebuttal
- 5 min. Second Negative Rebuttal
- 5 min. Second Affirmative Rebuttal

Constructives

- Each debater has one constructive speech
- The first affirmative constructive (1AC) is typically pre-written and presents a case to uphold the resolution
- All constructive speeches may be used to introduce, build, and respond to arguments

Cross-Examination

- One-on-one question and answer
- Only direct interaction in the round between the debaters
- Debaters face the judge
- Judges may not question/comment during this time or at all during the round

Rebuttals

- Rebuttal speeches are used to respond to and extend existing lines of argumentation
- No new lines of argumentation may be presented in rebuttal speeches
- Rebuttal speeches may include new evidence, examples, analysis, and analogies offered to support previously introduced lines of argumentation
- If a team introduces a new line of argumentation into the round during the rebuttals, the judge should disregard these arguments when evaluating the round

Other Key Considerations

Time Limits

- Once the time is <u>expired</u> at the end of a speech, a debater may complete a sentence, but <u>should not</u> start a new thought.
- Once time has expired, judges are free to discard additional comments or speech content when evaluating the round.

Debater Communication

• Debaters may communicate and pass notes discretely at the table.

Debater Prep time

• Each team has a total of 5 minutes for preparation between speeches. The timekeeper should state start and end of this prep time, and any time remaining.

Debater's Role

The debaters are responsible for making their ideas clear to the judge, including:

- Debate theory
- Organization of the ideas and arguments in the round
- Details of the topic

Role of the Judge

DO

- Set aside your personal bias
- Decide which debater best supports their position
- Provide written feedback

Role of the Judge

DON'T

- Interrupt or question the debaters
- Leave the room or take phone calls during the round
- Extend a debater's speaking time by discussing the round with them when it is finished

Flowing/Note-taking

Organizes the ideas in a round

- Use flow sheet or plain paper
- Just a tool to help you
- Not to be turned in



The right note-taking system allows you to:

- Absorb the presentation
- Reach a conclusion and cast a vote
- Give the debaters written feedback

TP - Flowsheet

1AC First Affirmative Constructive	1NC First Negative Constructive	2AC Second Affirmative Constructive	2NC Second Negative Constructive	1NR First Negative Rebuttal	1AR First Affirmative Rebuttal	2NR Second Negative Rebuttal	2AR Second Affirmative Rebuttal
Cats are better than dogs. Cats are adorable and soft.	Dogs are superior to cats. Cats scratch a lot. Dogs are loyal. Dogs protect people.	Dogs scratch too and bite. Dogs run away.	Constructive	Reduttar	Reduttar	Reduttar	Reduttar

Before the Round Begins

Stoa Team Policy Debate



Round:	Room:	
Date:	Time:	
Affirmative	vs.	Negative
Judge:	Signature:	

Resolved: The United States federal government should substantially reform its agriculture and/or food safety policy in the United States.

Please complete the ballot in black or blue ink only.

Speaker (circle): 1st Affirmative or 2nd Affirm	Speaker (circle): 1st Negative or 2nd Negative	Speaker Rank					
	1st 2nd 3rd 4th	180 24 E210 21001 C		1st	2r	nd 3	d 4th
Speaker Points	PoorExcellent	Speaker Points	Poo		Ex	celle	nt
Persuasiveness	1 2 3 4 5	Persuasiveness				4	
Organization	1 2 3 4 5	Organization				4	
Delivery	1 2 3 4 5	Delivery				4	
Evidence	1 2 3 4 5	Evidence	1	2	3	4	5
Cross-Examination	1 2 3 4 5	Cross-Examination				4	
Refutation	1 2 3 4 5	Refutation	1	2	3	4	5
Comments:	Total:	Comments:	Tot	al: _	_	_	
Speaker (circle): 1st Affirmative or 2nd Affirm	ative Speaker Rank 1st 2nd 3rd 4th	Speaker (circle): 1st Negative or 2nd Negative	S			Rank nd 3	d 4th
10 0 5	1st 2nd 3rd 4th	2 10 2 10 10		ist	20	nd 3/	2 22
Speaker Points	1st 2nd 3rd 4th	Speaker Points	Poo	ist	2n	nd 3/	nt
Speaker Points Persuasiveness	1st 2nd 3rd 4th PoorExcellent 1 2 3 4 5	Speaker Points Persuasiveness	Poo 1	1st	2n	celle	nt 5
Speaker Points Persuasiveness Organization	1st 2nd 3rd 4th PoorExcellent 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5	Speaker Points Persuasiveness Organization	Poo 1 1	1st	2r Ex 3 3	celler	nt 5
Speaker Points Persuasiveness Organization Delivery	PoorExcellent 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5	Speaker Points Persuasiveness Organization Delivery	Poo 1 1	1st	2n 3 3 3	celler	nt 5 5
Speaker Points Persuasiveness Organization Delivery Evidence	PoorExcellent 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5	Speaker Points Persuasiveness Organization Delivery Evidence	Poo 1 1 1 1	1st	2n 3 3 3 3	celler	nt 5 5 5
Speaker Points Persuasiveness Organization Delivery	PoorExcellent 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5	Speaker Points Persuasiveness Organization Delivery	Poo 1 1 1 1	1st 2 2 2 2 2	2n 3 3 3 3	celler	nt 5 5 5 5
Speaker Points Persuasiveness Organization Delivery Evidence Cross-Examination Refutation	PoorExcellent 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5	Speaker Points Persuasiveness Organization Delivery Evidence Cross-Examination	Poo 1 1 1 1 1	1st 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	2n 3 3 3 3 3 3	celler 4 4 4 4	nt 5 5 5 5 5 5
Organization Delivery Evidence Cross-Examination	PoorExcellent 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5	Speaker Points Persuasiveness Organization Delivery Evidence Cross-Examination Refutation	Poo 1 1 1 1 1	1st 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	2n 3 3 3 3 3 3	celler 4 4 4 4 4 4 4	nt 5 5 5 5 5 5
Speaker Points Persuasiveness Organization Delivery Evidence Cross-Examination Refutation Comments:	fat 2nd 3rd 4th Poor	Speaker Points Persuasiveness Organization Delivery Evidence Cross-Examination Refutation	Poor 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 Total	1st	2n 3 3 3 3 3 3	celler 4 4 4 4 4 4 4	nt 5 5 5 5 5 5

Please explain your "Reason for Decision" on the back of the ballot.

© Stoa 2016

Check to make sure:

You have not judged either of these teams in this event at this tournament

- Find the room number
- Make sure the tournament knows which ballot you have
- Fill in your name (if needed)

Before the Round Begins

Speaker (circle) 1st Affirmative or 2nd Affirm	ative Speaker Rank	Speaker (circle) 1st Negative or 2nd Negati	ive Speaker Rank
	1st 2nd 3rd 4th		1st 2nd 3rd 4th
Speaker Points Persuasiveness Organization Delivery Evidence Cross-Examination Refutation Comments:	PoorExcellent 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 Total:	Speaker Points Persuasiveness Organization Delivery Evidence Cross-Examination Refutation Comments:	PoorExcellent 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 Total:
Speaker (circle) 1st Affirmative or 2nd Affirm	ative Speaker Rank 1st 2nd 3rd 4th	Speaker (circle) 1st Negative or 2nd Negative	ive Speaker Rank 1st 2nd 3rd 4th
Speaker Points Persuasiveness Organization Delivery Evidence Cross-Examination Refutation Comments:	PoorExcellent 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 Total:	Speaker Points Persuasiveness Organization Delivery Evidence Cross-Examination Refutation Comments:	PoorExcellent 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 Total:

The ballot has space for the four names of the debaters:

- If these are already filled in, verify the speaker positions
- If these are not filled in, write them in before the round starts! (Debaters will be happy to help.)
- Now, the debate may begin and you are ready to listen and take notes.

Filling Out the Ballot

Two Independent Decisions:

- 1. Decide which team wins the round.

 Arguments are more important than presentation.
- 2. Reward individual speaking ability

Set aside personal bias/opinion

Evaluating the Round

The Affirmative team has the "burden of proof". Did the Affirmative team...

- Stay on topic? (topicality)
- Provide a significant reason for change? (significance)
- Propose a solution that doesn't currently exist? (inherency)
- Present a plan that will work? (solvency)
- Show that the advantages outweigh the disadvantages?

The Negative team may attempt to persuade you that the Affirmative team does not fulfill one or more of the above burdens.

The Student Ballot

Stoa Team Policy Debate



Round:	Room:	
Date:	Time:	
Affirmative	V8.	Negative
Judge:	Signature:	

Resolved: The United States federal government should substantially reform its agriculture and/or food safety policy in the United States.

Please complete the ballot in black or blue ink only.

openier (orace): recrammanre	or 2nd Affirmative Speaker Rank	Speaker (circle): 1st Negative or 2nd Negative		Speaker Rank			
	1st 2nd 3rd 4th	200 200 200 40000	1	st 2	nd .	3rd	4th
Speaker Points	PoorExcellent	Speaker Points	Poor.	Е	xcell	ent	2
Persuasiveness	1 2 3 4 5	Persuasiveness	1 2	3	4	5	
Organization	1 2 3 4 5	Organization	1 2	3	4	5	
Delivery	1 2 3 4 5	Delivery	1 2	3	4	5	
Evidence	1 2 3 4 5	Evidence	1 2	3	4	5	
Cross-Examination	1 2 3 4 5	Cross-Examination	1 2	3	4	5	
Refutation	1 2 3 4 5	Refutation	1 2	3	4	5	
Comments:	Total:	Comments:	Total	_		-0	
Speaker (circle): 1st Affirmative	or 2nd Affirmative Speaker Rank	Speaker (circle): 1st Negative or 2nd Neg					
Speaker (circle): 1st Affirmative Speaker Points	or 2nd Affirmative Speaker Rank 1st 2nd 3rd 4th PoorExcellent	Speaker (circle): 1st Negative or 2nd Neg		st 2	ind .	3rd	4th
Speaker Points	1st 2nd 3rd 4th	Speaker Points	Poor	st 2	nd .	3rd ent	4th
Speaker Points Persuasiveness	1st 2nd 3rd 4th PoorExcellent 1 2 3 4 5	Speaker Points Persuasiveness	Poor 1 2	st 2	xcell	3rd ent 5	4th
Speaker Points Persuasiveness Organization	1st 2nd 3rd 4th PoorExcellent 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5	Speaker Points Persuasiveness Organization	Poor 1 2 1 2	st 2	xcell	3rd ent 5	4th
Speaker Points Persuasiveness	PoorExcellent 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5	Speaker Points Persuasiveness	Poor 1 2 1 2 1 2	st 2	xcell 4 4 4	3rd ent 5 5	4th
Speaker Points Persuasiveness Organization Delivery Evidence	PoorExcellent 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5	Speaker Points Persuasiveness Organization Delivery Evidence	Poor 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2	st 2	xcell 4 4 4 4	3rd ent 5 5 5	4th
Speaker Points Persuasiveness Organization Delivery	PoorExcellent 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5	Speaker Points Persuasiveness Organization Delivery	Poor 1 2 1 2 1 2	st 2	xcell 4 4 4 4	3rd ent 5 5 5 5	4th
Speaker Points Persuasiveness Organization Delivery Evidence Cross-Examination	PoorExcellent 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5	Speaker Points Persuasiveness Organization Delivery Evidence Cross-Examination	Poor 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2	st 2	xcell 4 4 4 4 4	3rd ent 5 5 5 5 5	4th
Speaker Points Persuasiveness Organization Delivery Evidence Cross-Examination Refutation	fat 2nd 3rd 4th PoorExcellent 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5	Speaker Points Persuasiveness Organization Delivery Evidence Cross-Examination Refutation	Poor 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2	st 2	xcell 4 4 4 4 4	3rd ent 5 5 5 5 5	4th

Please explain your "Reason for Decision" on the back of the ballot.

© Stoa 2016

Vote Affirmative or Negative

- Double Loss = disciplinary only
- Lower speaker points may win the round

Evaluate the Speakers

- Write comments
- Circle and total the Speaker Points
- Rank speakers

The Ballot - Speaker Points/Rank

Speaker	Speaker Rank				
Bob Brush	1st 2nd 3rd 4th				
Speaker Points Persuasiveness Organization Delivery Support Analysis Refutation	PoorExcellent 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5				
Comments:	Total:				

Total Speaker Points

- 1 = poor...5 = excellent
- Speaker points may be tied, ties are broken with speaker rank
- Lower speaker points may win the round

Speaker Rank

Rank speakers
 sequentially by points
 (most points = 1st, least points = 4th)

The Speed Ballot

Practice Tournament

TP

Team Po	olicy Debate	J	udge					
Round 1		R	Room 1		Thu (Thu 02/17/11 09:00AM		
Speaker (circle) 1st 2nd	Affirmative Bob Brush	Rank (1-4)	Points (6-30)	Speaker (circle) 1st 2nd	Negative Clark Crossman	Rank (1-4)	Point: (6-30	
1st 2nd	Tom Tillman CLBB Club B	_		1st 2nd	Susan Strangst CLBA Club A	_	_	
	The winner of this debate wa Affirmative Negative (Circle Winner)				Judge's Signature			

SPEED BALLOT

Dear Judge,

- 1. You have been given two ballots.
- 2. One Speed Ballot and one Student Ballot.
- 3. Please turn in your Speed Ballot as soon as possible; this is critical to making the tournament run on time.
- 4. Fill in the Speaker Points, Speaker Rank, and Decision on both ballots ASAP and bring them to Ballot Return.
- 5. Ballot Return will make sure that what you have written on both ballots is a match.
- 6. Ballot Return will take your Speed Ballot.
- 7. You may then take some extra time to complete the "Comments" and "Reason for Decision" on the Student Ballot. Please do not write these on the Speed Ballot.

Thank you for your help,

Your Friendly Tab Staff

- Only pertinent information needed for tabulation
- Vote Affirmative or Negative
- Provide speaker points
- Rank speakers
- Turn in as soon as possible

Ballot: Reason For Decision

- Judge round based upon issues discussed in the round
- Set aside your personal bias/opinion

 Be prepared to vote for a position you do not personally hold

When the Round is Over

- Do not disclose your decision
- Do not ask questions or give verbal feedback
- Do not solicit opinions about the round from other observers in the room
- Immediately following the round, take your ballots to the designated area for completion

Rules

Judge Orientation Staff Available

• If you have questions concerning the round or your ballot, staff is available to answer your questions in the judge's area.

Written Rules Available

• Written rules are available in the judge's area.



Thank You